## A low-rank approach to off-the-grid sparse deconvolution

## Paul Catala DMA, ENS Ulm, PSL, CNRS UMR 8553, pcatala@dma.ens.fr

**Introduction** We aim to recover accurately the amplitudes  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and positions  $x_i \in \mathbb{T}^d$  ( $\mathbb{T}$  is the torus) of a discrete Radon measure  $\mu_0 = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \delta_{x_i}$  given low-resolution and noisy observations  $y = \Phi \mu_0 + w$ . The measurements consist in a finite number of Fourier moments  $\Phi \mu = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \phi(x) d\mu(x)$ , with  $\phi(x) = (c_k e^{-2i\pi \langle k, x \rangle})_{k \in [-f_c, f_c]^d}$ . Such super-resolution problems naturally arise in medical, astronomical or microscopy imaging. They can be tackled using total variation regularization, which generalizes  $\ell^1$ -regularization to measure spaces [2]:

$$\min_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}^d)} \frac{1}{2\lambda} \|y - \Phi\mu\|^2 + |\mu|(\mathbb{T}^d), \tag{1}$$

where  $|\mu|(\mathbb{T}^d)$  is the total variation of  $\mu$ , *i.e.* its total mass, and  $\lambda > 0$  depends on the noise level ||w||. Our main contribution is a new solver for this infinite-dimensional problem, which requires only  $O(f_c^d \log f_c)$  elementary computations per iterations, thus making it scalable in multi-dimensional settings (d > 1).

**Semidefinite relaxations** In 1-D, (1) may be solved exactly by lifting to a semidefinite program in  $O(f_c^{2d})$  variables [1, Section 4]. In 2-D and beyond, it may be approximated to arbitrary precision by semidefinite liftings of increasing size [3]. While usual interior points methods are limited in these high-dimensional settings, the solver we propose scales well with the size of the problems. The semidefinite relaxation of (1) reads

$$\min_{\tau,z,u} \left\{ \tau + u_0 + \frac{1}{2} \| \frac{y}{\lambda} + 2z \|^2; (a) \left| \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{R} = \begin{bmatrix} R & \tilde{z} \\ \tilde{z}^h & \tau \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0, \\ \tilde{z}_k = z_k, \quad k \in \llbracket -f_c, f_c \rrbracket^d \end{array} \right. \text{ and } (b) R = \sum_{k \in \llbracket -m, m \llbracket^d} u_k \Theta_k \right\}, \tag{2}$$

with  $\Theta_k = \theta_{k_d} \otimes \ldots \otimes \theta_{k_1}$ , where  $\theta_{k_j}$  is the (Tœplitz) matrix with ones on the  $k_j$ -th diagonal and zeros elsewhere, and  $\otimes$  is the Kronecker product. R may be interpreted as a moment matrix associated to the measure  $\mu_{\lambda}$  solving (1); in particular, one may retrieve from R the positions and amplitudes of the spikes composing  $\mu_{\lambda}$ .

**Proposition 1 (Low-rank solutions)** In 1-D, (2) admits a solution  $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda}$  such that rank  $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda} \leq r$ , r being the number of Diracs composing  $\mu_{\lambda}$ . This result appears to hold in 2-D (from numerical evidences).

**FFT-based Frank-Wolfe solver** To solve (2), we penalize constraint (b) in the objective f and apply Frank-Wolfe algorithm [4] to the resulting semidefinite program. Iterates are stored as  $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}^*$ . Frank-Wolfe's oracle over the semidefinite cone is given by a leading eigenvector of  $\nabla f$ , which we compute using power iterations. This is done efficiently in  $O(f_c^d \log f_c)$ , exploiting the connection between Tœplitz matrices and the Fast Fourier Transform. We further add a non convex BFGS update on  $\mathcal{U}$  after each Frank-Wolfe step. Our algorithm appears to converge in exactly r steps, r being the number of spikes in  $\mu_{\lambda}$ . We will soon have results on data from the Single-Molecule Localization Microscopy (SMLM) challenge [5].

Joint work with: Vincent Duval, Gabriel Peyré.

## References

- E.J. Candès, and C. Fernandez-Granda. Towards a Mathematical theory of super-resolution. Comm. Pure Appl. Math, 67(6):906–956, 2014.
- [2] Y. de Castro, and F. Gamboa. Exact reconstruction using Beurling minimal extrapolation. Journal of Math. Analysis Applications, 395(1):336–354, 2012.
- [3] Y. de Castro, F. Gamboa, D. Henrion and J.B. Lasserre. Exact solutions to super-resolution on semi-algebraic domains in higher dimensions. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Th.*, 63(1):621–630, 2017.
- [4] M. Jaggi. Revisiting Frank-Wolfe: Projection-free sparse convex optimization. In *ICML*, volume 28, 2013.
- [5] Biomedical Imaging Group (EPFL). Benchmarking of Single-Molecule Localization Microscopy Software. 2013. http://bigwww.epfl.ch/smlm/